**Objective**

Soccer is a popular sport enjoyed by millions of people around the world. Predicting the outcome of soccer matches is of interest to both fans and professionals in the field. The objective of this project is to develop a model that can predict the winner of international soccer matches based on historical match data. The models are built using feature engineering and deep learning. Our models can help inform decisions related to sports betting, team selection, and tournament preparation.

**Problem statement**

The problem we are attempting to solve is predicting the winner of a FIFA World Cup match based on the historical data of the teams involved. The dataset includes information about the teams, including their rankings, scores, and other metrics.

**Data**

The dataset we used in this project can be found on Kaggle and the link is attached below. This dataset has 3280 rows and 25 columns. Some of the important columns which are useful in determining winner are:

date: Date of the match

home\_team: Name of the home team

away\_team: Name of the away team

tournament: Name of the tournament

city: Name of the city where the match was played.

country: Name of the country where the match was played.

neutral\_location: Boolean indicating if the match was played at a neutral location.

home\_team\_mean\_offense\_score: Mean offense score of the home team

home\_team\_mean\_midfield\_score: Mean midfield score of the home team

away\_team\_mean\_defense\_score: Mean defence score of the away team

away\_team\_mean\_offense\_score: Mean offense score of the away team

away\_team\_mean\_midfield\_score: Mean midfield score of the away team

**Data Pre-processing**

The data pre-processing begins with exploratory data analysis. In the 1st step we plotted graph of all the unique tournaments played at international level like Gulf Cup, FIFA World Cup, Arab Cup, Friendly matches, UEFA Euro etc there are total 32 unique tournaments in our dataset. Also, this dataset has 3280 rows and 25 columns.
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In the next step we converted dates to datetime object to extract year from date column in future***.*** After that we created 3 dataframe 1st one named: ‘fifa\_rank’ which includes columns date, names ranks and FIFA points of teams before match. 2nd is named ‘home’ which includes date, team, rank and points of home team only. The 3rd one is named ‘away’ which includes date, team, rank and points of away team only.

After that we found out the top 10 ranked teams. They are Brazil, France, Italy, England, Argentina, Portugal, Spain, Mexico, and Denmark. In the next step we created 2 dataframe that is based on playing strategy of the teams i.e., offense and defence game strategy. The next includes finding difference between the total scores of homes and away team and total scores of teams.
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We used feature engineering for our analysis here we created few new columns:

The difference between the home team's and the away team's FIFA rankings is shown in the column df['rank\_difference']. It is determined by deducting the home team's FIFA ranking from the away teams.

The difference between the total FIFA points earned by the home team and the away team is shown in the column df['point\_difference']. It is computed by deducting the total FIFA points of the home team from the total FIFA points of the away team.

The average of the host team's and the visiting team's FIFA rankings is shown in the df['average\_rank'] column. It is computed by summing the FIFA rankings of the host team and the visiting team, then dividing the result by two.

The score differential between the home team and the visiting team is shown in the column df['score\_difference']. It is computed by deducting the score of the home team from the score of the visiting team.

df['iswon']: Indicates whether or not the home team prevailed in the game. The value in this column is True if the score difference is more than 0 (i.e., the home team scored more goals than the away team). If not, it is false.

df['is\_stake']: This column indicates whether or not the game was a friendly competition. The value in this column is True if the tournament's name does not include the word "Friendly." If not, it is false.

The next step of Feature engineering is carried out by adding new columns to the DataFrame after preprocessing the data. These columns are "iswon", "is\_stake", "rank\_difference", "point\_difference", "average\_rank", "score\_difference", and "rank\_difference". Each column indicates a different aspect of the match data, such as the difference between the home and away teams' FIFA rankings, the total number of FIFA points, the average FIFA rank, the scores' discrepancy, and whether the home team triumphed or not. Future match results can be predicted using these features.

Finally, the modified Data Frame’s first few rows are printed, and the improved DataFrame's shape is displayed to show that the additional feature columns have been correctly inserted. Now the updated dataframe has 571 rows and 31 columns.

**Training Models**

The 5 different models we used for our analysis are Naïve Bayes, Random Forest, Decision Tree, XGBoost, and SVM.

1. **Naïve Bayes**: The predictor variable is whether the home team will win or lose, and the features included in the model are defined as the average rank, rank difference, and point difference. Following that, the data is divided into 80/20 train and test sets.

The predictions are then made using a Gaussian Naive Bayes model, and the best hyperparameters are found using a grid search. The parameter grid in use comprises of various variance smoothing values. The model is trained using the training data, and the cross-validation set is set to 5. Then, the optimal hyperparameters are printed together with their corresponding accuracy. The accuracy of Naïve bayes turned out to be 68.369%.

1. **Random Forest**: This model uses the train\_test\_split function, the dataset is first divided into training and testing sets. The RandomForestClassifier is then created and trained using the fit function on the training set of data. The test set labels were predicted using the predict function in order to assess the model's performance. The accuracy\_score and classification\_report functions were also used to calculate accuracy scores. The param\_grid dictionary was used to search a grid of hyperparameters, producing a GridSearchCV object with a 5-fold cross-validation. In order to fit the GridSearchCV object to the training set data, a second instance of the RandomForestClassifier was made with a random state of 42. Based on the GridSearchCV object's best\_params\_ attribute, the ideal hyperparameters were chosen. Test set labels were predicted using the top model found by the grid search, and accuracy was calculated using the accuracy\_score function, giving a Random Forest model accuracy of 70.731%.
2. **Decision Tree**: For the Decision Tree model we used the train\_test\_split function from the sklearn.model\_selection library, the dataset is then divided into training and testing sets, with 20% of the data going to the test set and a random state of 42 set for reproducibility. The next step was to create a DecisionTreeClassifier with a dictionary of hyperparameters to adjust. These parameters included the criterion for choosing the best split (either "gini" or "entropy"), the maximum depth of the tree (either None or a specified integer), the minimum number of samples needed to split an internal node (2, 5, or 10), and the minimum number of samples needed to be at a leaf node (1, 2, or 4). Grid Search CV, which involved a thorough search through a set of parameter values, fitting the estimator for each set of parameter combinations, and scoring each set of parameter combinations using cross-validation, was used to identify the best hyperparameter combination that produced the greatest accuracy on the training data.

The GridSearchCV function fitted the Decision Tree Classifier to the training data for each combination of hyperparameters to assess model performance. The best hyperparameters found were printed using the GridSearchCV object's best\_params\_ attribute.

The accuracy\_score function from the sklearn.metrics library was used to calculate the model's accuracy, and the top model was then selected to forecast the test data's labels. The model's performance on the test data was then thoroughly analysed using the classification\_report function from the same package. The accuracy of the Decision Tree model was 67.07%.

1. **XGBoost**: In the XGBoost model, our aim was to classify data into three classes based on the features X and target variable y. In order to accomplish this, the dataset was initially divided into training and testing sets. The fit() method was then used to train the XGBoost classifier on the training set. Using the predict() function, we predicted the labels for the test data, and we assessed the performance of the classifier using the accuracy\_score() method from the sklearn.metrics library.

With the help of the classification\_report() method, we created a report to provide more details about the model's performance. Additional categorization metrics including precision, recall, and F1-score for each class were supplied in this report.

Then, using GridSearchCV, we adjusted the XGBoost classifier's hyperparameters. To identify the ideal collection of hyperparameters, we defined the hyperparameters that needed to be changed and used grid search cross-validation. Using the optimal settings, we evaluated the model's performance on the test set and determined its accuracy. The model's accuracy on the test set, the best cross-validation score, and the best parameters and score were all printed.

The accuracy for the XGBoost model turned out to be 69.20%.

1. **Support Vector Machine**: First we split data into training and testing sets. The training data is used to design and train an SVM classifier. The model is trained on the training data, and its performance is then assessed on the test data, which is a standard approach in machine learning.

On the basis of the training data, we created and trained an SVM classifier. The SVM employs a sophisticated algorithm to determine how to divide the data most effectively into various categories depending on their attributes. We utilized the classifier to predict the labels of the test data once it had been trained.

We assessed the accuracy of the SVM and prepared a classification report to assess its performance. The SVM was then fine-tuned using a hyperparameter grid to maximize its performance. The kernel type, regularization parameter, and gamma value were among the hyperparameters we changed.

The GridSearchCV function was used to fit the SVM to the training data for each hyperparameter combination and to score each combination using cross-validation. We were successful in obtaining the best hyperparameters and associated score.

The accuracy for the SVM model turned out to be 70.42%, which is the highest among all the models we used in our project. This suggests that the SVM was the most effective method for classifying our data, and its hyperparameters were optimized to yield the best results.

**Conclusion**

Using historical match data, we constructed models that can accurately predict the outcome of international soccer matches in this study. Our findings have ramifications for the sports sector, including squad selection and tournament planning. According to our findings, the SVM is the highest performing model for this task. Future work could include the introduction of more powerful deep learning algorithms or the addition of new features to the dataset. Overall, our research shows the possibility of employing machine learning approaches to inform sports industry decision-making.
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